

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/630,325 07/30/2003 8737 Naotaka Sasame 21334-1247 **EXAMINER** 7590 07/28/2004 JoAnn Dilloway FIGUEROA, FELIX O Barley, Snyder, **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 126 East King Street Lancaster, PA 17602-2893 2833

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/630,325	SASAME ET AL.	2 X
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Felix O. Figueroa	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
.— .	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.		·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 7,10,11,13,16,17 and 19 is/are rejected	ed.		
7) Claim(s) <u>8,9,12,14,15 and 18</u> is/are objected to).		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	· ·	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/30/03 & 8/20/03.		Patent Application (PTO-152)	
			. ———————

Application/Control Number: 10/630,325

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because they have elements shown in cross section which are not properly crosshatched. Insulating members shown in cross section should be properly crosshatched. See for example Figures 8D, 9 and 18. It is brought to applicant's attention that the conventional crosshatch for insulating members shown in cross section consist of lines of two different thicknesses alternatively disposed.



Correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1 line 13, a comma (,) should be inserted after "tines". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/630,325

Art Unit: 2833

Claims 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,638,104 in view of Liu (US 6,551,116).

Claim 1 of Patent No. 6,638,104 discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the standoffs. Liu teaches a base plate (22) having standoffs (222) that protrude from the base plate to abut a circuit board to provide a spacing between the base plate and a circuit board. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the base plate with standoffs, as taught by Liu, to provide a spacing between the base plate and a circuit board.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 13, 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu (US 6,551,116).

Liu discloses an aligning member for an inclined connector, comprising: a base plate (22) having a plurality of apertures (220) for receiving and aligning tines of

Application/Control Number: 10/630,325

Art Unit: 2833

contacts that extend from a housing of the inclined electrical connector (10), the base plate having standoffs (222) that protrude from the base plate to abut a circuit board.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Liu discloses the apertures being beveled and the standoffs being provided on the bottom surface of the plate along a longitudinal direction (see Figs. 4 and 6).

Regarding claim 19, Liu discloses the aligning member being movable with respect to the housing.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6 are allowed.

Claims 8, 9, 12, 14, 15 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach or suggest an alignment member on an inclined electrical connector, wherein the alignment member has standoffs abutting a CB so that the aligning member inclines at an angle, in combination with the remaining limitation of the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felix O. Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-2003. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 10:00am-6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,325 Page 5

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ffr

Febro. Soft

Gary Paumon Primary Examiner